Obliquities of "Top-Shaped" Asteroids May Not Imply Reshaping by YORP Spin-up

Thomas S. Statler[a,b,]

[a]*Astrophysical Institute, Department of Physics and Astronomy, 251B Clippinger Research Laboratories, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA*
[b]*Department of Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, 20742, USA*

arXiv:1411.0638v2 [astro-ph.EP] 17 Nov 2014

Abstract

The timescales over which the YORP effect alters the rotation period and the obliquity of a small asteroid can be very different, because the corresponding torques couple to different aspects of the object's shape. For nearly axisymmetric, "top-shaped" near-Earth asteroids such as 101955 Bennu, spin timescales are an order of magnitude or more longer than obliquity timescales, which are $\sim 10^5$ to 10^6 yr. The observed low obliquities (near 0° or 180°) of top-shaped asteroids do not constitute evidence that they acquired their present shapes and spins through YORP spin-up, because low obliquities are expected regardless of the spin-up or reshaping mechanism.

Keywords:
ASTEROIDS, DYNAMICS, ASTEROIDS, ROTATION, ASTEROIDS, SURFACES, NEAR-EARTH OBJECTS

1. Introduction

High-resolution radar observations of near-Earth asteroids (NEAs) have produced a wealth of information about their surface properties, rotation rates, and 3-dimensional shapes (Ostro et al. 2002). One intriguing result from these studies is the recurring emergence of shapes reminiscent of a child's top—nearly axisymmetric, slightly to moderately oblate, with an elevated ridge around the equator. The dozen or so top-shaped objects identified to date tend to have rapid rotation and axial obliquities near 0° or 180° (collectively referred to here as "low obliquity"), and several have satellites (Ostro et al. 2006, Busch et al. 2007; 2011, Nolan et al. 2013, Brozović et al. 2011).

One possible explanation for the formation of top-shapes, both with and without companions, was offered by Walsh et al. (2008; 2012). These authors simulated the dynamical evolution of idealized rubble piles composed of spheres that interact through dissipative two-body collisions. They subjected the rubble piles to a steady increase in angular momentum, ostensibly arising from radiation recoil torques (the YORP effect; Paddack 1969, Rubincam 2000). They found that some of the objects evolved, through centrifugally driven movement of surface material, to top shapes. By continuing to add angular momentum, they could force the tops to shed mass, which reaccumulated in orbit to make satellites. Walsh et al. (2008) highlighted the strong similarity of their best results to the well-studied object 1999 KW_4, establishing YORP spin-up as a likely candidate mechanism.

These simulations are so visually compelling that YORP is now commonly invoked as *the only* mechanism responsible for top-shapes. Keller et al. (2010) state that the shape of the main-belt asteroid 2687 Steins is "probably the result of reshaping due to [YORP] spin-up"; Busch et al. (2011) describe 1999 KW_4's equatorial ridge and satellite as "believed to have formed due to YORP spin-up..."; and Walsh et al. (2012) cite YORP-induced "bulk reshaping" as "the cause for the ubiquitous 'top-shape' and equatorial ridge."

Given the current state of knowledge, however, uncritical acceptance of the YORP spin-up mechanism as the only option for the formation of top shapes is logically unwise, for the following reasons:

Email address: statler@ohio.edu (Thomas S. Statler)

1. Not all spin-accelerated rubble piles become tops, and it is not yet determined whether the properties of those that do correspond to the properties of real objects. Walsh et al. (2012) found that objects with low initial angles of friction ϕ evolved, not to tops, but to highly triaxial or prolate bodies (see also Holsapple 2010, Jacobson and Scheeres 2011, Tanga et al. 2014, Cotto-Figueroa et al. 2014). Terrestrial materials like gravel or sand have larger values of ϕ ($\gtrsim 20°$), but it is by no means established that asteroidal materials will behave similarly. The high-ϕ objects of Walsh et al. (2008), which did become tops, were initialized in hexagonal-close-pack configuration, which provides extra rigidity by making motion of material below the surface impossible unless the object expands and the bulk density decreases. Furthermore, light curve observations show an abundance of rapidly rotating objects in the few-km size range that are significantly non-axisymmetric (Pravec and Harris 2000, Warner et al. 2009).
2. YORP is not a limitless source of angular momentum. YORP spin-up will weaken as an object being reshaped becomes more symmetric. For objects having reflection symmetry (including axisymmetric oblate or prolate spheroids as well as triaxial ellipsoids) and rotating about a principal axis, the secular YORP effect on spin is identically zero.[1] Small deviations from symmetry are equally likely to produce positive or negative spin torques; hence gradual reshaping may lead to a stochastic random walk in spin rate (Statler 2009) and/or to YORP self-limitation (Cotto-Figueroa et al. 2014), either of which could arrest reshaping and prevent mass shedding or fission. This scenario differs qualitatively from the continual spin-up assumed in the simulations.
3. Other mechanisms that may also reshape and/or accelerate the spins of rubble piles have not been ruled out. Leading contenders are disruptive impacts (Leinhardt et al. 2000, Korycansky and Asphaug 2006) and catastrophic disruptions followed by reaccumulation (Michel and Richardson 2013). Tidal torques in close planetary encounters may also contribute but are expected to play a lesser role (Walsh and Richardson 2006; 2008).

A tempting argument to invoke in support of the YORP spin-up model uses the tendency for tops and binaries to have obliquities ϵ close to 0° or 180°, which have been identified with stable end states of the YORP cycle (Čapek and Vokrouhlický 2004). The argument is that, since tops are found near these end states, YORP must have been in operation for longer than the characteristic YORP timescale, over which time YORP must have significantly modified the spins. Pravec (2014) applies this argument to the general population of binary asteroids; Polishook (2014) employs a form of it in his discussion of asteroid pairs.

The point of this *Note* is to show that, at least for the top-shaped asteroids, the argument is fallacious. This is because the timescale for YORP to change the orientation of an object may have nothing to do with the timescale over which it changes the spin rate; and for nearly symmetric objects the latter timescale can be an order of magnitude or more longer than the former. The low obliquities of tops do not imply that they acquired their present shapes and spins through YORP spin-up, because low obliquities are expected regardless of the spin-up or reshaping mechanism.

2. YORP Evolution of Symmetric and Nearly Symmetric Asteroids

The essential property of YORP in this discussion is that the torque component that changes the spin rate and the components that change the axis orientation couple, at leading order, to different attributes of the surface. The spin torque couples to chirality—the difference between eastward and westward facing slopes—while the other components couple merely to asphericity. (Mathematically, this concerns the symmetric and antisymmetric terms in the Fourier expansion of the topography: the spin torque couples only to the antisymmetric terms, the orientation component to the symmetric terms.) Thus, even axisymmetric spheroids, which have zero spin torque, will have their axes reoriented by YORP, and will have their obliquities changed if they have finite thermal inertia Γ. These results have been derived analytically by Breiter et al. (2007), Breiter and Michalska (2008), and Kaasalainen and Nortunen (2013), but seem to have been underappreciated, perhaps owing to the highly mathematical presentations in those papers.

[1] The arguments in sections 1 and 2 of this paper make use of the standard assumption that the recoil force from thermal photons is normal to the radiating surface. The consequences of loosening this assumption are discussed in section 3.



Figure 1: YORP torque components on an axisymmetric oblate spheroid. (*a*) An object with zero thermal inertia is shown at its northern summer solstice. Re-radiation from the sub-solar warm spot (*contours*) produces a twist in the direction indicated, i.e., a torque directed out of the page that drives a precession of the rotation axis. (*b*) Sun's-eye view of the same body, with finite thermal inertia, rotating counterclockwise. The warm spot is displaced eastward along a parallel of latitude. The recoil force has a downward component in the plane of the page, resulting in a clockwise twist and a torque into the page that lowers (raises) the obliquity of a direct (retrograde) rotator toward $\epsilon = 0^\circ$ (180°). (*c*) Characteristic YORP timescale, $\tau_{\rm obl}$, for obliquity to evolve from 60° to 5°, *vs.* thermal inertia Γ for fiducial, black oblate spheroids of density $1000\,{\rm kg\,m^{-3}}$ and mass $5.346 \times 10^{11}\,{\rm kg}$, with 2 h rotation periods and on circular orbits of radius 1 AU. Curves correspond to different values of short-to-long axis ratio (polar flattening) as indicated. Any rotating oblate spheroid with $\Gamma > 0$ will evolve toward $\epsilon = 0^\circ$ or 180°, regardless of what made it oblate.

Figure 1 illustrates the origin of the axis-reorienting torque components on an axisymmetric oblate spheroid, for which the spin torque is identically zero at all times. Figure 1a shows the case of a body with $\Gamma = 0$, at its northern summer solstice, illuminated by sunlight from the left. A warm spot is generated, centered around the sub-solar point, from which thermal re-radiation produces a recoil force normal to the surface. As the force is not directed toward the center of mass, the result is a twist in the direction indicated, corresponding to a torque directed out of the page. Half an orbit later, with the illumination from the right, the recoil twist is in the same sense, adding to the secular effect. For $\Gamma = 0$, this is the only non-zero component of torque, and it drives a precession of the rotation axis about the orbit normal. Figure 1b shows a Sun's-eye view of the same body, now with $\Gamma > 0$. As a result of heat conduction and rotation (counterclockwise looking down on the north pole, as indicated), the warm spot is carried eastward, approximately along a parallel of latitude. The recoil force now has a component pointing downward in the diagram. The downward push on the right side of the body results in a clockwise twist and a torque directed into the page. Half an orbit later, illumination from behind creates an upward push on the left side of the body and a torque in the same direction. This contribution to the secular torque acts to lower the obliquity

Table 1: Adopted Physical Parameters for Modeled Asteroids

Name	Shape Model	ρ	Γ	A	ϵ	P	a	e
101955 Bennu	Nolan et al. (2013)	1260[a]	310[b]	0.02[a]	175[a]	15437	1.126	0.024
(29075) 1950 DA	Busch et al. (2007)	1700[c]	24[c]	0.20[c]	168[c]	7638	1.699	0.508
(341843) 2008 EV_5	Busch et al. (2011)	3000[d]	450[e]	0.12[d]	175[d]	13410	0.958	0.081
(66391) 1999 KW_4	Ostro et al. (2006)	2081[f]	100	0.20[g]	3[f]	9952	0.642	0.689

Note: Columns list object name, source for shape model, bulk density ρ in $\mathrm{kg\,m^{-3}}$, thermal inertia Γ in $\mathrm{J\,m^{-1}\,s^{-1/2}\,K^{-1}}$, Bond albedo A, obliquity ϵ in degrees, rotation period P in s, orbital semi-major axis a in AU, and orbital eccentricity e. Additional data sources: [a]Chesley et al. (2014); [b]Emery et al. (2014); [c]Rozitis et al. (2014); [d]Busch et al. (2011); [e]Alí-Lagoa et al. (2014); [f]Fahnestock and Scheeres (2008); [g]`http://echo.jpl.nasa.gov/~lance/asteroid_radar_properties/nea.radaralbedo.html`. P, a, and e values are from the JPL Small Body Database (`http://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/sbdb.cgi`).

of a direct rotator toward $\epsilon = 0°$, and raise the obliquity of a retrograde rotator toward $\epsilon = 180°$.

As a measure of the characteristic timescale for this axis-righting process, I define $\tau_{\rm obl}$ as the time for the obliquity of a direct rotator to evolve from 60° (the median value for rotation poles distributed randomly over one hemisphere) to 5° (a typical observational uncertainty for well-determined rotation poles). Figure 1c shows this timescale for three fiducial oblate spheroids over a range of thermal inertias, computed using the thermophysical code TACO (Statler 2009). These fiducial objects are black (zero Bond albedo A and unit blackbody radiative efficiency $\epsilon_{\rm bb}$), with a uniform density of $\rho = 1000\,\mathrm{kg\,m^{-3}}$ and volumes equal to that of a sphere $D = 1\,\mathrm{km}$ in diameter, differing only in their polar-to-equatorial axis ratio. They are assumed to be on circular ($e = 0$) orbits of semi-major axis $a = 1\,\mathrm{AU}$, rotating about their short axes with period $P = 2\,\mathrm{h}$. Thermal inertias range from small values ($\sim 10\,\mathrm{J\,m^{-1}\,s^{-1/2}\,K^{-1}}$) characteristic of fine regolith, through intermediate values ($\sim 10^2\,\mathrm{J\,m^{-1}\,s^{-1/2}\,K^{-1}}$) characteristic of fractured rock, to high values ($> 10^3\,\mathrm{J\,m^{-1}\,s^{-1/2}\,K^{-1}}$) typical of monolithic rock. The figure shows that righting times are short: $\tau_{\rm obl} < 1\,\mathrm{Myr}$ for all likely values of Γ, even for objects that are only 10% aspherical, and are in the realm of 0.1 Myr for moderate flattenings and thermal inertias characteristic of fractured rock. Axis-righting occurs at constant spin rate, since the spin component of torque is zero and the timescale for YORP spin-up or spin-down is infinite. Any rotating oblate spheroid will evolve toward 0° or 180° obliquity, regardless of what made it oblate. (The results can be scaled to other objects and orbits using the relation $\tau_{\rm obl} \propto \rho D^2 a^2 (1-e^2)^{1/2} P^{-1}$ and rescaling Γ so that $\Gamma P^{-1/2} =$ constant. For Lambertian reflection and emission, to leading order $\tau_{\rm obl} \propto [\epsilon_{\rm bb}(1-A)]^{-1}$.)

Real objects are not precisely symmetric, and will have nonzero spin torques owing to deviations from reflection symmetry. But for top-shaped objects the spin torque is still typically an order of magnitude smaller than the obliquity torque. To demonstrate, I calculate the YORP effect on four well-observed objects with high-resolution radar models: 101955 Bennu, (29075) 1950 DA, (341843) 2008 EV_5, and (66391) 1999 KW_4. The adopted parameters are given in Table 1. For 2008 EV_5, Γ has been estimated from thermal infrared observations (Alí-Lagoa et al. 2014). Its density is poorly constrained; Busch et al. (2011) give $3000\,\mathrm{kg\,m^{-3}}$ as an upper limit, which I adopt as a conservative estimate but which is higher than expected for a rubble pile. For Bennu and 1950 DA, observations of Yarkovsky drift (Chesley et al. 2014, Emery et al. 2014, Rozitis et al. 2014) permit constraints on ρ and Γ. For 1999 KW_4, no information on Γ is available, and so I simply adopt $100\,\mathrm{J\,m^{-1}\,s^{-1/2}\,K^{-1}}$ as an intermediate value. The torque calculation includes 1-dimensional heat conduction with the full nonlinear radiative boundary condition at the surface, as well as self-heating by reflected sunlight and thermal emission. Only the shallow diurnal thermal wave is calculated. The seasonal effect (which vanishes for zero obliquity) is neglected, as are surface roughness and beaming effects. I also assume for simplicity that the object remains in its present orbit for the length of the calculation.

For the following discussion, I adopt a working premise that is intentionally counter to the YORP spin-up concept: I assume that each of these objects attained its current shape through some unspecified process or event some time in the past, and has retained that shape until now. I integrate the coupled spin and obliquity evolution driven by YORP backward in time, from the present period and obliquity, and ask how long it should have taken for the object to reach its current spin state. The initial obliquity, at the time of the shape-setting event, is, of course, unknown.



Figure 2: (*a*, top) Time required for 4 top-shaped asteroids to reach their current spin states by YORP evolution, as a function of the initial obliquities at the times their present shapes were set. (*b*, bottom) Evolution in obliquity and rotation period. Axis-righting would occur in $\lesssim 1$ Myr, at nearly constant spin rate. (In both panels, the complement of the obliquity, rather than the obliquity, is plotted for the retrograde rotators.)

Figure 2a shows the times to reach the current spin states, as a function of initial obliquity. The black curve shows that Bennu could have reached its present obliquity in, at most, 0.2 Myr; the median time, assuming a statistical ensemble of random, isotropically oriented initial rotation poles, would be 0.1 Myr. For 1950 DA, the larger mass and low Γ make the timescale longer: to reach its current state, 1950 DA would require a median time of 2 Myr. 1999 KW_4 and 2008 EV_5 would reach their present orientations in median times of 0.6 Myr and 0.04 Myr, respectively. These times are all significantly shorter than the 10 Myr median lifetimes of NEAs (Gladman et al. 2000), and much shorter than the ~ 100 Myr time between large impacts that significantly change the magnitude or direction of the angular momentum (Farinella et al. 1992; 1998, Morbidelli and Vokrouhlický 2003, Marzari et al. 2011).

During this reorientation, the spin rates would have changed only modestly. Figure 2b shows evolutionary tracks in obliquity and rotation period, terminating at their current values. While it is impossible to definitively calculate the spin torque without knowing the internal mass distribution and the topography at much higher resolution than the radar models allow, the tracks indicate that all four objects would have reoriented to their present obliquities with little change in period. 2008 EV_5 and Bennu would have slowed by at most 12% and 20%, respectively, if they started from $\epsilon \approx 60°$. 1999 KW_4 and 1950 DA, behaving

much more like symmetric spheroids, would have evolved at nearly constant spin rate.

3. Discussion

Axis righting by YORP does not imply spin-up by YORP, since obliquity and spin timescales can be very different for shapes that are close to spheroidal. The above results highlight the point made in Section 1, that once an asteroid acquires a near-symmetric shape, YORP is not a particularly effective supplier of angular momentum. Furthermore, alternative mechanisms for spin-up or reshaping that have no intrinsic orientation bias are not ruled out by low present-day obliquities, because YORP would quickly re-orient objects to low obliquity anyway. *Regardless of what gave these objects their characteristic shapes or rapid spins, we would expect to find them today with low obliquities, spinning at close to their original speeds.*

The leading alternative to YORP spin-up, for reshaping as well as for making binaries, is disruptive impacts. The outcomes of impacts depend sensitively on impact parameter (Leinhardt et al. 2000), rotation (Ballouz et al. 2014), and physical makeup of the target body (Korycansky and Asphaug 2006, Michel et al. 2004, Jutzi et al. 2010), as well as on impact energy. Oblate remnants with rotation periods $P < 8\,\mathrm{h}$ and small satellites tend to result from intermediate angular momentum impacts (Leinhardt et al. 2000), and from rubble piles composed of same-sized pieces (Korycansky and Asphaug 2006). When the target is fully dissociated and the remains reaccumulate (Michel and Richardson 2013), preliminary simulations suggest it can also lead to rapidly rotating, near-oblate remnants (P. Michel, private communication). The binary YORP effect (BYORP; Ćuk and Burns 2005), as well as tidal effects, can cause satellites to migrate inward and collapse onto the primary (Taylor and Margot 2011; 2014); accretion of orbiting material might then lead to the buildup of an equatorial ridge (Busch et al. 2011).

Figure 2 does not constrain the ages of top-shaped NEAs or the times since reshaping. The point is not that these objects were reshaped or acquired their spins only $\sim 0.1\,\mathrm{Myr}$ ago; merely that *whenever* this occurred, once in the near-Earth region they would have been quickly reoriented at nearly constant spin rates. Impact-driven reshaping would be expected to occur predominantly in the main belt and among the NEAs with $a > 2\,\mathrm{AU}$ (Gladman et al. 1997). Such objects could have been reshaped well before migrating to more Earthlike orbits where they would have been quickly reoriented.

1999 $\mathrm{KW_4}$ is a binary, as are some other top-shaped NEAs including 2013 $\mathrm{WT_{44}}$ (P. Taylor, private communication) and 1994 CC (which is a trinary; Brozović et al. 2011). It is easily shown that YORP-driven axis righting is an adiabatic change for the binary orbit, and therefore the orbit pole will be "dragged along" and reoriented in the same way. Using parameters from Fahnestock and Scheeres (2008) for 1999 $\mathrm{KW_4}$, I calculate the nodal precession time for a test particle at the distance of the secondary to be 110 days. Fahnestock and Scheeres (2008) show that 1999 $\mathrm{KW_4}$ is in a Cassini state, in which the primary's spin axis and the secondary's orbit pole precess together with a 90-day period. This is close to the test-particle result, and some 6 orders of magnitude shorter than the YORP axis-righting timescale, hence the latter is adiabatic.

Photometrically identified NEA binaries predominantly have small ($D < 4\,\mathrm{km}$) primaries with rotation periods $2\,\mathrm{h} < P < 5\,\mathrm{h}$ and light curve amplitudes $\lesssim 0.2$ mag, implying shapes not very far from axisymmetry (Pravec et al. 2006). Their polar to equatorial axis ratios c/a are not well constrained by light curves, but if they are moderately flattened ($c/a \lesssim 0.9$), then the above results should apply to them as well. That is, regardless of the process or processes that made them oblate and gave them satellites, we would expect YORP to have efficiently aligned their spin and binary orbit poles with their heliocentric orbit poles.

For inner main belt binaries, a preference for such alignments has been observed by Pravec et al. (2012). They propose that this is a result of YORP reorientation, before, during, or after formation, and estimate a reorientation rate for a $D = 4\,\mathrm{km}$ object equivalent to $\tau_{\mathrm{obl}} \approx 140\,\mathrm{Myr}$. The results of Section 2 actually suggest a shorter time; scaling the curve for $c/a = 0.7$ in Figure 1c to the average parameters in Table 1 of Pravec et al. (2012) and adopting their assumed $\rho = 2500\,\mathrm{kg\,m^{-3}}$, I find that τ_{obl} can be as low as 30 Myr if $\Gamma \approx 300\,\mathrm{J\,m^{-1}\,s^{-1/2}\,K^{-1}}$, which is a reasonable thermal inertia for a surface composed of broken rocks.

None of the arguments presented here excludes YORP from playing significant roles in asteroid reshaping or binary formation. Collisional spin-up need not dominate YORP spin-up in general. YORP could be responsible for the majority of fast-rotating non-axisymmetric asteroids, and impacts for the minority of objects that are tops. YORP spin-up of asymmetric objects may also produce some binaries by fission, without passing through an axisymmetric phase. Whether the progenitor object is first forced to low obliquity will depend on the details of the evolution. Righting times for prolate spheroids are approximately

twice those for the corresponding oblate spheroids (Fig. 1c); but the sequence of shape changes that would inevitably occur before fission may result in stochastic YORP evolution in both obliquity and spin rate (Cotto-Figueroa et al. 2014). YORP may also continue to modify the spins of objects that have been shaped by other processes. Near-axisymmetric objects would be particularly prone to reversals in spin torque caused by small mass movements. The resulting YORP self-limitation (Cotto-Figueroa et al. 2014) may prevent substantial spin-up *or* spin-down, keeping the rotation rate high and occasionally driving material off the surface.

Ultimately, YORP *may* prove to be the dominant reshaping mechanism. Whether it does depends, in part, on the incompletely understood details of surface processes operating at scales below the resolution of ground- or space-based remote observations. One such process is the "tangential YORP" effect (Golubov and Krugly 2012, Golubov et al. 2014), arising from differential morning/afternoon heat conduction across exposed surface features, roughly at the centimeter scale. Owing to nighttime cooling, the temperature difference between the east and west sides of such a feature is greater in the morning, leading to greater conductive transport. The larger infrared flux radiated from the west side then results in an eastward recoil force and an acceleration of the spin. A second, related process is infrared "beaming," caused by unresolved surface roughness over a wide range of scales. Beaming directs the radiated intensity slightly away from the mean surface normal, toward the direction of the Sun. Again due to nighttime cooling, the effect is greater in the morning (Rozitis and Green 2012), enhancing the tangential recoil force in the westward direction, and decelerating the spin. Which of these competing mechanisms wins is a critical issue for future work.

4. Conclusions

The timescales over which YORP can alter the rotation period and the obliquity of a small asteroid are not necessarily the same, because the corresponding torques couple to different aspects of its shape. For an object close to axial or reflection symmetry, the spin timescale can be an order of magnitude or more longer than the obliquity timescale; hence YORP can reorient its spin axis to low obliquity without substantially changing its spin rate. Reorientation timescales for known top-shaped NEAs are $\sim 10^5$ to 10^6 yr, one to two orders of magnitude shorter than their inner Solar System residence times. Hence the observed low obliquities of these objects do not constitute evidence that they were reshaped by YORP spin-up, because objects shaped by any other process would also have been quickly reoriented to low obliquity.

Top shapes have not yet been established as a unique consequence of YORP spin-up. Spin-driven generation of top-shaped rubble piles has been shown to occur in a specific region of parameter space, using a single numerical approach that neglects the unavoidable weakening of the YORP spin torque for near-symmetric shapes. Determining whether this attractive explanation for top shapes is the correct one will require significant effort, both to clarify the relative importance of small-scale surface effects and to explore the viability of competing mechanisms.

The author is grateful for helpful comments from colleagues including Derek Richardson, Patrick Michel, Doug Hamilton, and Mangala Sharma, and for support from NASA Planetary Geology & Geophysics grant NNX11AP15G. He also thanks the referees, David Rubincam and David Vokrouhlicky, for constructive and helpful reviews. This work has made use of NASA's Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services.

References

Alí-Lagoa, V., Lionni, L., Delbo, M., Gundlach, B., Blum, J., Licandro, J., 2014. Thermophysical properties of near-Earth asteroid (341843) 2008 EV_5 from WISE data. Astron. Astrophys. 561, A45.

Ballouz, R.-L., Richardson, D. C., Michel, P., Schwartz, S. R., 2014. Rotation-dependent Catastrophic Disruption of Gravitational Aggregates. Astrophys. J. 789, 158 (12pp).

Breiter, S., Michalska, H., 2008. YORP torque as the function of shape harmonics. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 388, 927–944.

Breiter, S., Michalska, H., Vokrouhlický, D., Borczyk, W., 2007. Radiation-induced torques on spheroids. Astron. Astrophys. 471, 345–353.

Brozović, M., Benner, L. A. M., Taylor, P. A., Nolan, M. C., Howell, E. S., Magri, C., Scheeres, D. J., Giorgini, J. D., Pollock, J. T., Pravec, P., Galád, A., Fang, J., Margot, J.-L., Busch, M. W., Shepard, M. K., Reichart, D. E., Ivarsen, K. M., Haislip, J. B., LaCluyze, A. P., Jao, J., Slade, M. A., Lawrence, K. J., Hicks, M. D., 2011. Radar and optical observations and physical modeling of triple near-Earth Asteroid (136617) 1994 CC. Icarus 216, 241–256.

Busch, M. W., Giorgini, J. D., Ostro, S. J., Benner, L. A. M., Jurgens, R. F., Rose, R., Hicks, M. D., Pravec, P., Kusnirak, P., Ireland, M. J., Scheeres, D. J., Broschart, S. B., Magri, C., Nolan, M. C., Hine, A. A., Margot, J.-L., 2007. Physical modeling of near-Earth asteroid (29075) 1950 DA. Icarus 190, 608–621.

Busch, M. W., Ostro, S. J., Benner, L. A. M., Brozovic, M., Giorgini, J. D., Jao, J. S., Scheeres, D. J., Magri, C., Nolan, M. C., Howell, E. S., Taylor, P. A., Margot, J.-L., Brisken, W., 2011. Radar observations and the shape of near-Earth asteroid 2008 EV5. Icarus 212, 649–660.

Čapek, D., Vokrouhlický, D., 2004. The YORP effect with finite thermal conductivity. Icarus 172, 526–536.

Chesley, S. R., Farnocchia, D., Nolan, M. C., Vokrouhlický, D., Chodas, P. W., Milani, A., Spoto, F., Rozitis, B., Benner, L. A. M., Bottke, W. F., Busch, M. W., Emery, J. P., Howell, E. S., Lauretta, D. S., Margot, J.-L., Taylor, P. A., 2014. Orbit and bulk density of the OSIRIS-REx target Asteroid (101955) Bennu. Icarus 235, 5–22.

Cotto-Figueroa, D., Statler, T. S., Richardson, D. C., Tanga, P., 2014. Coupled spin and shape evolution of small rubble-pile asteroids: self-limitation of the YORP effect. Icarus submitted (arXiv:1411.1114).

Ćuk, M., Burns, J. A., 2005. Effects of thermal radiation on the dynamics of binary NEAs. Icarus 176, 418–431.

Emery, J. P., Fernández, Y. R., Kelley, M. S. P., Warden (nèe Crane), K. T., Hergenrother, C., Lauretta, D. S., Drake, M. J., Campins, H., Ziffer, J., 2014. Thermal infrared observations and thermophysical characterization of OSIRIS-REx target asteroid (101955) Bennu. Icarus 234, 17–35.

Fahnestock, E. G., Scheeres, D. J., 2008. Simulation and analysis of the dynamics of binary near-Earth Asteroid (66391) 1999 KW4. Icarus 194, 410–435.

Farinella, P., Davis, D. R., Paolicchi, P., Cellino, A., Zappala, V., 1992. Asteroid collisional evolution - an integrated model for the evolution of asteroid rotation rates. Astron. Astrophys. 253, 604–614.

Farinella, P., Vokrouhlický, D., Hartmann, W. K., 1998. Meteorite Delivery via Yarkovsky Orbital Drift. Icarus 132, 378–387.

Gladman, B., Michel, P., Froeschlé, C., 2000. The Near-Earth Object Population. Icarus 146, 176–189.

Gladman, B. J., Migliorini, F., Morbidelli, A., Zappala, V., Michel, P., Cellino, A., Froeschle, C., Levison, H. F., Bailey, M., Duncan, M., 1997. Dynamical lifetimes of objects injected into asteroid belt resonances. Science 277, 197–201.

Golubov, O., Krugly, Y. N., 2012. Tangential Component of the YORP Effect. Astrophys. J. Lett. 752, L11.

Golubov, O., Scheeres, D. J., Krugly, Y. N., 2014. A 3-dimensional model of tangential YORP. ArXiv e-prints.

Holsapple, K. A., 2010. On YORP-induced spin deformations of asteroids. Icarus 205, 430–442.

Jacobson, S. A., Scheeres, D. J., 2011. Dynamics of rotationally fissioned asteroids: Source of observed small asteroid systems. Icarus 214, 161–178.

Jutzi, M., Michel, P., Benz, W., Richardson, D. C., 2010. Fragment properties at the catastrophic disruption threshold: The effect of the parent body's internal structure. Icarus 207, 54–65.

Kaasalainen, M., Nortunen, H., 2013. Compact YORP formulation and stability analysis. Astron. Astrophys. 558, A104.

Keller, H. U., Barbieri, C., Koschny, D., Lamy, P., Rickman, H., Rodrigo, R., Sierks, H., A'Hearn, M. F., Angrilli, F., Barucci, M. A., Bertaux, J.-L., Cremonese, G., Da Deppo, V., Davidsson, B., De Cecco, M., Debei, S., Fornasier, S., Fulle, M., Groussin, O., Gutierrez, P. J., Hviid, S. F., Ip, W.-H., Jorda, L., Knollenberg, J., Kramm, J. R., Kührt, E., Küppers, M., Lara, L.-M., Lazzarin, M., Moreno, J. L., Marzari, F., Michalik, H., Naletto, G., Sabau, L., Thomas, N., Wenzel, K.-P., Bertini, I., Besse, S., Ferri, F., Kaasalainen, M., Lowry, S., Marchi, S., Mottola, S., Sabolo, W., Schröder, S. E., Spjuth, S., Vernazza, P., 2010. E-Type Asteroid (2867) Steins as Imaged by OSIRIS on Board Rosetta. Science 327, 190–193.

Korycansky, D. G., Asphaug, E., 2006. Low-speed impacts between rubble piles modeled as collections of polyhedra. Icarus 181, 605–617.

Leinhardt, Z. M., Richardson, D. C., Quinn, T., 2000. Direct N-body Simulations of Rubble Pile Collisions. Icarus 146, 133–151.

Marzari, F., Rossi, A., Scheeres, D. J., 2011. Combined effect of YORP and collisions on the rotation rate of small Main Belt asteroids. Icarus 214, 622–631.

Michel, P., Benz, W., Richardson, D. C., 2004. Catastrophic disruption of pre-shattered parent bodies. Icarus 168, 420–432.

Michel, P., Richardson, D. C., 2013. Collision and gravitational reaccumulation: Possible formation mechanism of the asteroid Itokawa. Astron. Astrophys. 554, L1.

Morbidelli, A., Vokrouhlický, D., 2003. The Yarkovsky-driven origin of near-Earth asteroids. Icarus 163, 120–134.

Nolan, M. C., Magri, C., Howell, E. S., Benner, L. A. M., Giorgini, J. D., Hergenrother, C. W., Hudson, R. S., Lauretta, D. S., Margot, J.-L., Ostro, S. J., Scheeres, D. J., 2013. Shape model and surface properties of the OSIRIS-REx target Asteroid (101955) Bennu from radar and lightcurve observations. Icarus 226, 629–640.

Ostro, S. J., Hudson, R. S., Benner, L. A. M., Giorgini, J. D., Magri, C., Margot, J. L., Nolan, M. C., 2002. Asteroid Radar Astronomy. Asteroids III, 151–168.

Ostro, S. J., Margot, J.-L., Benner, L. A. M., Giorgini, J. D., Scheeres, D. J., Fahnestock, E. G., Broschart, S. B., Bellerose, J., Nolan, M. C., Magri, C., Pravec, P., Scheirich, P., Rose, R., Jurgens, R. F., De Jong, E. M., Suzuki, S., 2006. Radar Imaging of Binary Near-Earth Asteroid (66391) 1999 KW4. Science 314, 1276–1280.

Paddack, S. J., 1969. Rotational bursting of small celestial bodies: Effects of radiation pressure. J. Geophys. Res. 74, 4379–4381.

Polishook, D., 2014. Spin axes and shape models of asteroid pairs: Fingerprints of YORP and a path to the density of rubble piles. Icarus 241, 79–96.

Pravec, P., 2014. Asteroid Spin-up Fission Systems. In: Muinonen, K., Penttilä, A., Granvik, M., Virkki, A., Fedorets, G., Wilkman, O., Kohout, T. (Eds.), Asteroids, Comets, Meteors — Book of Abstracts, Helsinki, Finland, 2014. University of Helsinki, p. 451.

Pravec, P., Harris, A. W., 2000. Fast and Slow Rotation of Asteroids. Icarus 148, 12–20.

Pravec, P., Scheirich, P., Kušnirák, P., Šarounová, L., Mottola, S., Hahn, G., Brown, P., Esquerdo, G., Kaiser, N., Krzeminski, Z., Pray, D. P., Warner, B. D., Harris, A. W., Nolan, M. C., Howell, E. S., Benner, L. A. M., Margot, J.-L., Galád, A., Holliday, W., Hicks, M. D., Krugly, Y. N., Tholen, D., Whiteley, R., Marchis, F., DeGraff, D. R., Grauer, A., Larson, S., Velichko, F. P., Cooney, W. R., Stephens, R., Zhu, J., Kirsch, K., Dyvig, R., Snyder, L., Reddy, V., Moore, S., Gajdoš, Š., Világi, J., Masi, G., Higgins,

D., Funkhouser, G., Knight, B., Slivan, S., Behrend, R., Grenon, M., Burki, G., Roy, R., Demeautis, C., Matter, D., Waelchli, N., Revaz, Y., Klotz, A., Rieugné, M., Thierry, P., Cotrez, V., Brunetto, L., Kober, G., 2006. Photometric survey of binary near-Earth asteroids. Icarus 181, 63–93.

Pravec, P., Scheirich, P., Vokrouhlický, D., Harris, A. W., Kušnirák, P., Hornoch, K., Pray, D. P., Higgins, D., Galád, A., Világi, J., Gajdoš, Š., Kornoš, L., Oey, J., Husárik, M., Cooney, W. R., Gross, J., Terrell, D., Durkee, R., Pollock, J., Reichart, D. E., Ivarsen, K., Haislip, J., LaCluyze, A., Krugly, Y. N., Gaftonyuk, N., Stephens, R. D., Dyvig, R., Reddy, V., Chiorny, V., Vaduvescu, O., Longa-Peña, P., Tudorica, A., Warner, B. D., Masi, G., Brinsfield, J., Gonçalves, R., Brown, P., Krzeminski, Z., Gerashchenko, O., Shevchenko, V., Molotov, I., Marchis, F., 2012. Binary asteroid population. 2. Anisotropic distribution of orbit poles of small, inner main-belt binaries. Icarus 218, 125–143.

Rozitis, B., Green, S. F., 2012. The influence of rough surface thermal-infrared beaming on the Yarkovsky and YORP effects. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 423, 367–388.

Rozitis, B., MacLennan, E., Emery, J. P., 08 2014. Cohesive forces prevent the rotational breakup of rubble-pile asteroid (29075) 1950 da. Nature 512 (7513), 174–176.

Rubincam, D. P., 2000. Radiative Spin-up and Spin-down of Small Asteroids. Icarus 148, 2–11.

Statler, T. S., 2009. Extreme sensitivity of the YORP effect to small-scale topography. Icarus 202, 502–513.

Tanga, P., Campo Bagatin, A., Thirouin, A., Cellino, A., Comito, C., Ortiz, J., Richardson, D., Hestroffer, D., 2014. Spin-induced mass loss from rubble piles and the formation of asteroid satellites and pairs. In: Muinonen, K., Penttilä, A., Granvik, M., Virkki, A., Fedorets, G., Wilkman, O., Kohout, T. (Eds.), Asteroids, Comets, Meteors — Book of Abstracts, Helsinki, Finland, 2014. University of Helsinki, p. 542.

Taylor, P. A., Margot, J.-L., 2011. Binary asteroid systems: Tidal end states and estimates of material properties. Icarus 212, 661–676.

Taylor, P. A., Margot, J.-L., 2014. Tidal end states of binary asteroid systems with a nonspherical component. Icarus 229, 418–422.

Walsh, K. J., Delbo', M., Mueller, M., Binzel, R. P., DeMeo, F. E., 2012. Physical characterization and origin of binary near-earth asteroid (175706) 1996 fg3. The Astrophysical Journal 748 (2), 104.

Walsh, K. J., Richardson, D. C., 2006. Binary near-Earth asteroid formation: Rubble pile model of tidal disruptions. Icarus 180, 201–216.

Walsh, K. J., Richardson, D. C., 2008. A steady-state model of NEA binaries formed by tidal disruption of gravitational aggregates. Icarus 193, 553–566.

Walsh, K. J., Richardson, D. C., Michel, P., 2008. Rotational breakup as the origin of small binary asteroids. Nature 454, 188–191.

Walsh, K. J., Richardson, D. C., Michel, P., 2012. Spin-up of rubble-pile asteroids: Disruption, satellite formation, and equilibrium shapes. Icarus 220, 514–529.

Warner, B. D., Harris, A. W., Pravec, P., 2009. The asteroid lightcurve database. Icarus 202, 134–146.